

Comparison of Available Methods for Predicting Medium Frequency Sky-Wave Field Strengths

Margo PoKempner



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Philip M. Klutznick, Secretary

Henry Geller, Assistant Secretary
for Communications and Information

June 1980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF TABLES	iv
ABSTRACT	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CHRONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF MF FIELD-STRENGTH PREDICTION METHODS	2
3. DISCUSSION OF THE METHODS	4
3.1 Cairo Curves	4
3.2 The FCC Curves	7
3.3 Norton Method	10
3.4 EBU Method	11
3.5 Barghausen Method	12
3.6 Revision of EBU Method for the African LF/MF Broadcasting Conference	12
3.7 Olver Method	12
3.8 Knight Method	13
3.9 The CCIR Geneva 1974 Methods	13
3.10 Wang 1977 Method	15
3.11 The CCIR Kyoto 1978 Method	15
3.12 The Wang 1979 Method	16
4. COMPARISON OF PREDICTION METHODS	16
4.1 General Comparison	16
4.2 Sea Gain Effect	20
4.3 Solar Activity Effect	20
4.4 Comparison of Predicted Field Strengths with Measured Field Strengths	21
4.5 Comparison of Available Methods for Predicting MF Field Strengths in Region 2 (North and South America)	26
5. RELIABILITY OF MF FIELD STRENGTH MEASUREMENTS	30
6. CONCLUSIONS	31
7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	32
8. REFERENCES	32
9. BIBLIOGRAPHY	33
APPENDIX A: CCIR, 1974 MF FIELD-STRENGTH PREDICTION METHOD	37
APPENDIX B: CCIR, 1978 MF FIELD-STRENGTH PREDICTION METHOD	51
APPENDIX C: CCIR IWP 6/4 MF FIELD-STRENGTH PREDICTION METHOD	71

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1. Quasi-maximum field intensity at great distances for propagation at night for a radiated power of 1 kW.	5
Figure 2. Propagation paths for which MF field strengths were measured during the three campaigns conducted by the International Broadcasting Union, 1934-37.	6
Figure 3. U.S. sky-wave field strength exceeded 10 percent and 50 percent of the time at 1000 kHz. Based on 1935 measurements, vertical polarization, and second hour after sunset at west end of path (Barghausen, 1966).	8
Figure 4. U.S. sky-wave field strength exceeded 10 percent (upper curve) and 50 percent (lower curve) of the time at 1000 kHz. Based on 1944 measurements, vertical polarization, and second hour after sunset at path midpoint (Barghausen, 1966).	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. List of Propagation Paths	18
Table 2. Comparison of MF Field Strengths (F_0 in dB relative to 1 $\mu\text{V/m}$) Predicted by Different Methods for $R = 0$	19
Table 3. Comparison of Predicted Field Strengths for Different Solar Activity Levels (without sea gain) (F_0 in dB relative to 1 $\mu\text{V/m}$)	22
Table 4. Comparison of Measured Field Strengths with Predicted Field Strengths (F_0 in dB relative to 1 $\mu\text{V/m}$)	23
Table 5. List of FCC Propagation Paths in North and Central America	28
Table 6. Comparison of Measured Field Strengths with Predicted Field Strengths (F_0 in dB relative to 1 $\mu\text{V/m}$) for Paths in North and South America	29